A.R. INTERNATIONAL CONSULTING · ARIC INSIGHT

VIENNA · DUBAI · WASHINGTON · MMXIV

ARIC INSIGHT · VOLUME I · ISSUE 02

AAaS · *Agentic* in a Day.

The next consumption layer after IaaS and SaaS, the credit-burn pattern the cloud generation already paid to learn, and the firm's working position on the offer it is now publishing. A reading from the firm's desk on agentic skill as the deciding variable in the next cycle.

FOREWORD · THE FIRM'S POSITION

Generative AI is being phased out as the operative consumer surface. What enterprise needs next is not a better prompt; it is a deployable **skill**. The firm reads the next consumption layer as **AAaS — Agentic-as-a-Service** — and reads the early adoption surface as one in which credit consumption rewards skill and punishes linear thinking, in the same shape the IaaS generation paid to learn between 2010 and 2014. This issue records the firm's working position on where the layer goes, on the high-skill labour pool that decides the next cycle, on the cognitive-dissonance gap that stalls Big-4-quality output before it reaches the operator who needs it, and on the offer the firm is now publishing under the working name *Agentic in a Day*.

ISSUE
Vol I · Issue 02

DATED
1 May *MMXXVI*

VOICE
Firm · Reading Desk

SECTION I · THE INHERITANCE

Where we have been — *IaaS, SaaS,* and the cost traps each built in.

An honest read on the two consumption layers that reshaped enterprise computing in the last fifteen years, the cost discipline each built in, and the lesson the agentic cycle now inherits.

I.1 · *IaaS — capex to opex, with utilisation sprawl as the cost trap.*

Infrastructure-as-a-Service converted compute from capital-expenditure to operating-expenditure: the cloud invoice replaced the data-centre bill, capacity could be stood up in minutes rather than quarters, and the procurement cycle for compute compressed by a full order of magnitude. The trap built into the model was utilisation sprawl. Resources stood up at the speed of self-service ran, often, at the patience of the calendar; nobody owned the meter. The firm's reading desk has logged the early-cycle pattern across the period 2010 to 2014 with care, because the agentic cycle now inherits the same shape of risk in a new register.

I.2 · *SaaS — distribution and time-to-value, with vendor lock-in as the cost trap.*

Software-as-a-Service converted software distribution and time-to-value: the enterprise no longer waited four quarters for a workflow to be installed and could change its operating cadence inside a quarter rather than a budget cycle. The trap built into the model was vendor lock-in and the data-egress cost of leaving. Getting in was easy; getting out was billed by the gigabyte. The discipline boards have since absorbed — portability of data, modular contract terms, defined exit motions — was paid for the hard way over a full deployment cycle.

I.3 · *The lesson the agentic cycle inherits.*

The pattern across both inherited layers is the same. A new consumption surface arrives at speed, the buying motion compresses, and the cost discipline lags the deployment by a full cycle. The boards that read the cost trap early gain a structural advantage that compounds for the rest of the cycle. The boards that do not, pay the lesson at full price. The firm reads agentic AI as the next instance of that pattern — in a register an order of magnitude more consequential, because the consumption unit is now a **skill**, not a query, and the audit surface inside which it runs is governed by frameworks that do not become optional in the new cycle.

> *The firm's position is that* **the cost discipline lessons of IaaS and SaaS are the inheritance the agentic cycle starts from** *— and that the operators who carried both lessons forward are the operator class best positioned to read the next layer cleanly.*

SECTION II · THE NEXT LAYER

AAaS — *Agentic-as-a-Service,* and the credit-burn pattern.

The unit of value moves from query to skill. The metering becomes non-linear. The firm reads the credit-burn pattern as the load-bearing risk of the early-adoption window.

II.1 · *The unit of value moves from query to skill.*

The firm reads the next consumption layer as **AAaS — Agentic-as-a-Service.** The unit of value is no longer a query, a seat, or a session; it is a *skill* — a goal an agent can complete on the operator's behalf, with state, with tool calls, with an audit trail, and with a defined outcome the enterprise can underwrite. Generative AI surfaces the consumer to a fluent interface; agentic AI surfaces the enterprise to a working capacity. The two are not interchangeable, and only the second is the consumption layer the next cycle will be measured on.

IAAS · 2010S

Compute as *opex*

Cloud invoice replaces the data-centre bill. Cost trap: utilisation sprawl, no meter ownership.

SAAS · 2015S

Software as *cadence*

Distribution + time-to-value. Cost trap: vendor lock-in, data egress billed by the gigabyte.

AAAS · 2020S+

Skill as *outcome*

Goal-completing agents priced by work delivered. Cost trap: linear-thinking credit burn.

II.2 · *The credit-burn pattern — the AWS lesson, repackaged.*

Agentic credit consumption is not metered linearly: a five-step workflow does not cost five times a one-step workflow. Skill — the operator's discipline in scoping the goal, breaking the task, keeping the agent on rails, and stopping when the deliverable is met — compounds in the operator's favour. Linear thinking — "let it run, see what happens, we will review it after" — compounds against the operator at a rate the AWS-master-payer veterans will recognise immediately. The modern equivalent of the 24-hour test cluster nobody turned off is the agent loop nobody capped; the modern equivalent of egress-cost lock-in is the storage and re-training cost of leaving an agentic platform once the operator's data and prompt history have moved inside it.

II.3 · *Where lessons-learned actually come from.*

There is a small operator class that has run agentic credit at meaningful scale — not in the demo videos, but in production. The commercial baseline starts at hundreds of thousands of users; the multi-vertical operator class extends into the millions of users across cyber, information technology, immigration, mobility and car-sharing, luxury retail, and manufacturing. The lessons that compound in this market are produced where **both commercial unit-economics discipline and government compliance discipline** have been carried at scale. Capital alone does not produce them; talent alone does not produce them; very few operators hold all of it.

SECTION III · THE WORKFORCE LENS

High-skill labour decides the next cycle — *and the frameworks do not become optional.*

Low-skill categories phase out across the agentic adoption cycle; the deciding variable becomes the high-skill labour pool. SABSA, COBIT, NIST, and the UAE / GCC / EU governance surfaces are read alongside agentic behaviour, not around it.

III.1 · *The labour-category thesis.*

The firm's position is that **low-skill labour categories are phased out across the agentic adoption cycle**, and that the deciding variable in the agentic great-power competition is not the algorithm and not the chip. It is the **high-skill labour pool** — the operators, designers, governance leads, deployment architects, and translator-class engineers who can take a frontier model and put it to work inside a regulated enterprise, repeatedly and safely. The jurisdictions that produce, attract, and retain that pool will set the next cycle's terms. The ones that do not will rent the cycle from those that do. This is a workforce thesis with a technology delivery layer attached, not a technology thesis with a workforce annex.

III.2 · *The framework lens.*

The discipline of enterprise software has spent thirty years building the frameworks that govern data architecture, control objectives, security architecture, risk management, and cross-border data movement. The firm reads agentic AI alongside those frameworks — not around them.

- **SABSA** — the Sherwood Applied Business Security Architecture; the firm uses its layered model to map agentic decision boundaries to the business control they actually serve.
- **COBIT** — ISACA's control objective framework; the firm reads agentic deployments against COBIT's governance and management objectives so that audit lineage survives the deployment.
- **NIST AI Risk Management Framework** — the U.S. federal reference; the firm reads against govern-map-measure-manage as the agentic-pattern lifecycle baseline.
- **UAE federal AI surfaces & PDPL** — the regulator-as-deployment-condition register; agentic deployments are designed inside, not around, the UAE's data-protection and federal AI guidance.
- **GCC cross-border data discipline** — the regional surface for data residency and lawful transfer between Gulf jurisdictions; agentic systems inherit that discipline from their first deployment.
- **EU AI Act & adjacent rule-making** — the European reference for risk classification and post-market obligations; agentic deployments at the higher risk tiers carry obligations that do not become optional in the agentic cycle.

III.3 · *None of the old disciplines become optional.*

The agentic stack does not exempt anyone from data-architecture discipline, from data-warehousing discipline, from UI/UX discipline, from workflow-design discipline, from cross-domain integration, or from economic and national-security posture. **It raises the cost of each.** The boards that read agentic AI as a fast-track around governance pay the bill twice — once on the build, once on the rebuild — and the firm reads that pattern as the most preventable failure mode of the present cycle.

SECTION IV · THE TRANSLATOR SURFACE

The cognitive-dissonance gap — *and the translator the firm has built into ODIN.*

An agentic system can produce Big-4-quality output today. The operator on the other end of the system cannot deploy it. The reason, the consequence, and the design response.

IV.1 · *The deployment problem nobody wants to print.*

An agentic system, properly built, can today produce **Big-4-quality output** for a non-Big-4 operator. The deliverable is correct. The architecture is sound. The audit trail is complete. The user, on the other end of the system, cannot deploy it. The reason is register: the agent's working register is at PhD level and the operator's working register is at associate level. The deliverable lands in an inbox that cannot brief it forward, cannot defend it in a meeting, and cannot turn it into a decision the institution will sign. The firm reads this as the single largest reason agentic pilots stall at the production gate.

IV.2 · *The translator surface as the design response.*

The firm's response is a **translator surface** — an interface that models the inbound command into the agent's working register and adapts the outbound output into the operator's working register, free of cognitive or educational restriction. The translator is built into ODIN at the interface layer, not bolted on as a downstream review. The design discipline is to ensure that any operator with a clear intent can brief the agent and act on the deliverable, regardless of the institutional vocabulary the deliverable would otherwise have arrived in.

IV.3 · *ODIN — agentic as a public good, in the Linux-Mint tradition.*

ODIN is the firm's agentic companion line, built under A.R. International. Its founding ethos descends from the open-source tradition the Linux-Mint era made commonplace: **technology as a leveller, not a moat.** The principal who cannot afford the $60-to-$100-a-month tooling stack should not be locked out of the next cycle. At the floor, $50 a month should launch a website in fifteen minutes and a working business in five days. That is a deliberate, public-record line in the sand. The firm reads the agentic surface as one in which a public-good posture is not in tension with commercial discipline; it is the precondition for the commercial discipline to scale.

> *The firm's position is that* **the translator surface is the deployable form of agentic AI for the operator the next cycle actually produces** *— and that the public-good posture inherited from the open-source tradition is the operating discipline under which the firm will publish that surface.*

SECTION V · AGENTIC IN A DAY

The lineage from *ATO-in-a-Day,* and the firm's offer.

The pattern: pre-built, functionally-tested-elsewhere frameworks stripped to a checklist with low information-input requirement; the discipline is in completing the checklist; the firm contributes skill and lessons learned, not the framework itself.

V.1 · *Lineage — from ATO-in-a-Day to Agentic-in-a-Day.*

Operators in U.S. federal and Gulf government environments will recognise the lineage. **ATO-in-a-Day** — Authority-to-Operate compressed from a year to a working session — was made possible by pre-built, functionally-tested-elsewhere frameworks stripped to a checklist with a very low information-input requirement. The discipline was in completing the checklist. The firm reads **Agentic-in-a-Day** as the same pattern at the next consumption layer: pre-built reference architectures, governance overlays sequenced to the regulatory surface, and a checklist-driven deployment cadence whose information-input requirement is calibrated to the operator's actual working register.

V.2 · *What the firm contributes.*

The framework is not the product. The discipline of the deployment is the product. What the firm contributes, in writing and in working session, is **the skill and the lessons learned** — the operator's discipline that converts a credit-metered agentic surface into a deployable working capacity, governed inside the regulatory frameworks the cycle does not exempt anyone from. The firm's commercial calendar, over the past cycle, has been calibrated to invest alongside the published direction of the jurisdictions reading the cycle most carefully — the United Arab Emirates among them — rather than in front of it.

V.3 · *Close.*

This issue is not a forecast. It is the firm's working position on a consumption layer that is already arriving, and on a labour category that already decides the next cycle. The offer the firm is publishing under the working name *Agentic in a Day* is **skill plus lessons learned, not software.** The trap to avoid is the linear-thinking cost burn that the IaaS generation paid to learn. The discipline to inherit is the framework lens that the enterprise-software cycle has already paid to build. The asymmetry to preserve is the high-skill labour pool, wherever it is produced.

> *The firm publishes this position so that operators on the same surface — commercial or government — can read it, argue with it, and, where it is useful, work with it. The signature line carries* **A.R. International · ODIN**, *in the same posture as the firm's prior publication: a public-good ethos in the Linux-Mint tradition, on a working calendar the firm intends to keep.*